OF LATHEAD COLLY VI

BIG MOUNTAIN SEWER DISTRICT

PO Box 1252 Whitefish, Montana 59937

Board of Director Minutes September 29, 2022 9:30 am Northern Lights Conference Room Kandahar Lodge Whitefish, MT 59937

1. CALL TO ORDER

President Sato called the meeting to order at 9:31 a.m. Present were: Jennifer Sato, Brad Kincaid, Greg Ferrian, Jason Hanchett, Paul Montgomery/AMCE, and Leslie Bales. Present via Zoom were Al Clough and Kathy McAughan.

2. INTRODUCTIONS

Everyone present was already acquainted. There was no one present from the public.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT There was no public

4. PRESENTATIONS

There were no presentations.

5. PUBLIC HEARING

Montana Environmental Policy Act Review – Categorical Exclusion President Sato read the opening paragraphs of the notice of public hearing which stated the purpose was to obtain comments regarding the environmental review record for the proposed Infiltration & Inflow Mitigation project that will rehabilitate and adjust manholes throughout the Village and along Big Mountain Road in an effort to reduce the amount of clear water infiltration and runoff that is entering the District's sewage collection system. Sato explained this is a requirement of the Department of Commerce for the grant funding. President Sato then opened the public hearing. Paul Montgomery, AMCE explained the potential impacts were identified as noise and dust, with these potential issues being addressed with jake brake mufflers and dust mitigation measures. Montgomery explained that MEPA (Montana Environmental Protection Act) allows the environmental review to consist of a categorical exclusion by comparing the solution to MEPA requirements, and Montgomery's recommendation was to draft a categorical exclusion showing the justification for the exclusion. Montgomery explained further that the purpose of the public hearing was to hear any questions or concerns with the categorical exclusion. President Sato acknowledged there was no one present to make a verbal comment. President Sato asked Bales if there were any written comments, there were none. President Sato closed the public hearing.

President Sato moved the Resolution for Categorical Exclusion up on the agenda. President Sato read the first paragraph of Resolution 23-2 to accept the determination that a categorical exclusion is appropriate for the Big Mountain County Sewer District's

Inflow & Infiltration Mitigation Project. Kincaid made motion to approve Resolution #23-2, second by Ferrian. Motion carried with roll call as follows: AYES: Clough, Ferrian, Kincaid, McAughan, Sato. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None.

6. INFLOW & INFILTRATION PROJECT

Montgomery updated the BMSD Board on the status of the field changes through work change directives so far, sharing with the original scope of roughly 43 project elements and, as of now, all but eight have been completed. The contractor has billed \$300,000 into a \$360,000 contract. Montgomery continued substantial completion of the original contract was September 30th (Friday), and through work directives we added another 10 days. Montgomery reported CIP will be done within the 10 days. Montgomery went through the scope of work in work change directives #1 - #5,

- #1 add \$9,491 and added two work days. Rebuild chimney and raise frame/lid on MH A1-1, and Rebuild top of existing damaged cone section on MH 11. Discussion on MH A1-1 followed, with Kincaid asking if this was the manhole that was not in the original scope. Montgomery replied yes, but in the retrospect this manhole badly needed repair and it is good it was added to the project.
- #2 add \$15,029 for Manhole A1-1.1 add 48" barrel section due to manhole being buried so deep, and bringing it up to the surface. Added four work days.
- Change Order #1 includes both WCD #1 & WCD #2 for \$24,520.
- #3 add \$28,947 to repair the invert of manhole A3-5 to remove localized high spot and facilitate improved flow; along with MH 33A bypass, clean, assess and build new bench/channel which added four work days.
- #4 add \$4,050 for MH 26A and 27 corrections to lid elevations.
- #5 add \$5,572.67 to add 3.08' of depth to MH A4-5.1 in order to intercept existing 8" sewer. New manhole being placed over an existing bend in sewer lines
- Total of work change directives \$63,089.67.

 Discussion of the specifics of the work change directives and the progress of the I&I Project in general followed.

President Sato reported there is also an amendment to the Engineering Agreement with Anderson Montgomery Consulting Engineers for \$12,169 that includes the additional engineering required to rescope and rebid the I&I project after the first bids came in way above both the engineer's estimates and the preliminary budget. Montgomery asked if there were any questions, there were none. (Total additions to I&I Project costs with \$12,169 engineering is a total of \$75,258.67.) Montgomery reported there will be Change Order #2 incorporating WCD #3, #4, and #5. Hanchett reported he is in agreement with all the work change directives and change orders.

Kincaid made motion to approve Engineering Agreement #5 for Additional Engineering in the amount of \$12,169 and authorize President Sato to sign; second by Ferrian. Motion carried with roll call as follows: AYES: Clough, Ferrian, Kincaid, McAughan, Sato. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None. Ferrian made motion to accept Change Order #1, along with Work Change Directives #1 - #5; second by Kincaid. Motion carried with roll call as follows: AYES: Clough, Ferrian, Kincaid, McAughan, Sato. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None.

7. REVIEW OF MINUTES

The July 14, 2022 minutes of the BMSD Regular Meeting were approved as written upon motion of McAughan, second by Ferrian. Motion carried with roll call as follows: AYES: Clough, Ferrian, Kincaid, McAughan, Sato. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None.

The July 28, 2022 Special Meeting minutes were approved as corrected "adding Jen Sato to attendance at the Monday project meetings" upon motion of Kincaid; second by Ferrian. Motion carried with roll call as follows: AYES: Clough, Ferrian, Kincaid, McAughan, Sato. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None.

8. FINANCIAL REVIEW

Bales reported BMSD has received 100% of our special sewer assessment for FY22, so we will not be receiving any income from assessments until November, adding how unusual it is to receive 100% of assessments in such a timely manner. Bales also reported the I&I Project financial paperwork with AMCE is going well. The financials were approved upon motion of McAughan; second by Ferrian. Motion carried with roll call as follows: AYES: Clough, Ferrian, Kincaid, McAughan, Sato. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None.

9. OLD BUSINESS

President Sato read the opening paragraph of Resolution #23-1 adopting the Final Budget for FY23 as presented. Bales reported the only changes to the preliminary budget were to reduce the I&I Project grant funds by the reimbursements actually received in FY22. Resolution #23-1 was adopted upon motion of Ferrian, second by McAughan. Motion carried with roll call as follows: AYES: Clough, Ferrian, Kincaid, McAughan, Sato. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None.

President Sato reported the Medicine Rock Construction Walk-through is just an update, these projects were estimated for PIF's. They are just getting started on framing but need to remember to watch this project and compare final plans to the estimates.

Public Works Superintendent Hanchett reported the grease trap repair had been completed in April. Discussion followed about remembering to clean grease traps also. Hanchett will send out a reminder to restaurants.

President Sato reminded the Board that Ben Hammer left his prior firm, Hammer, Quinn and Shaw, and has established a new firm – Omvig Hammer Law P.C. The Board discussed this at our July 28th meeting and decided they wanted to continue with Ben Hammer as the attorney for Big Mountain County Sewer District. The billing agreement with Omvig Hammer Law P.C. is for \$200 per hour for either Omvig or Hammer, and \$135 per hour for paralegals. Motion to approve the billing agreement and authorize President Sato to sign and execute was made by McAughan; second by Kincaid. Motion carried with roll call as follows: AYES: Clough, Ferrian, Kincaid, McAughan, Sato. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None.

10. NEW BUSINESS

Hanchett reported there is not a real maintenance update as the scheduling for maintenance is all set for after the I&I project completes. Hanchett reported October 17 for generator maintenance and line cleanings.

Bales reported the Special Assessment Roll for Big Mountain County Sewer District has been completed and submitted to Flathead County for FY23. The final assessments for FY23 are \$596,481.58.

Plant Investment Fees for 1019 Elk Camp Loop, Lot #18 - \$7,606.30/Ochs were accepted upon motion of Kincaid, second by Ferrian. Motion carried with roll call as follows: AYES: Clough, Ferrian, Kincaid, McAughan, Sato. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None.

11. MISCELLANEOUS FOR THE GOOD OF THE ORDER

The next regular meeting of the BMSD Board of Directors will be Thursday, January 19th, 2023 at 9:30 a.m. President Sato asked Montgomery if there would need to be a special meeting for the I&I Project. Montgomery explained the remaining process for conclusion and wrap-up of the project noting that there is no need for a special meeting. DNRC and MCEP retain \$12,500 each of the project until project has been closed out. President Sato reminded the Board that after the grant call last week our project will be remaining open until after spring runoff so we can assess the usage and the success of the project.

Anyone who is interested can meet today at 11:00 a.m. with Montgomery, Matt (Construction Inspector), and Josh from CIP Technology at Hemlock Lot to review the project.

Ferrian, Sato, Hanchett, and Bales went along on the walkthrough of the project.

President Sato reported on Thursday, October 6^{th} from 1 to 3 p.m. representatives from DNRC/RRGL and MCEP/TSEP will be meeting in this room to review the paperwork, and then to physically review the project and take pictures

Upon motion duly made and seconded the meeting adjourned at 10:02 a.m.

Jennifer Sato, President

Leslie Bales, Secretary

RESOLUTION #23-1

BIG MOUNTAIN SEWER DISTRICT BUDGET APPROVAL

WHEREAS, The Big Mountain Sewer District Board of Directors has budget authority for the Big Mountain Sewer District;

WHEREAS, under 7-6-4005, M.C.A., expenditures are limited to appropriations and local government officials may not make a disbursement or an expenditure or incur an obligation in excess of the total appropriations for a fund;

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors has reviewed the Big Mountain Sewer District Fund #7225 for projected revenues and expenditures for the upcoming FY23 and its actual revenue and expenditures for the prior year FY22; and,

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors recognizes the revenue projections for FY23 are subject to change based on district assessments.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors approve the FY23 budget for Fund #7225 as attached; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Resolution of approval shall be entered into the minutes of the Board of Directors.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED THIS 29th day of September, 2022 and effective as of July 1, 2022

BIG MOUNTAIN SEWER DISTRICT Board voting as follows:

FOR: Clou	gh, Ferrian, +	sincaid,	McAughan,	Scho
AGAINST:V	lone			
ABSTAIN:	none		eren eren eren eren eren eren eren eren	
ABSENT:	none			
		-/W	Suls	

WITNESS my hand officially, this 29th day of September, 2022.

Leslie Bales, Secretary

Jennifer Sato, President

CERTIFICATE AS TO RESOLUTION AND ADOPTING VOTE

I, the undersigned, being the duly qualified and acting recording officer of Big Mountain Sewer District, Montana (the "District"), hereby certify that the attached is a true copy of a Resolution entitled: "RESOLUTION TO ADOPT THE FINAL BUDGET FOR BIG MOUNTAIN COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT FOR FY23, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2023" (the "Resolution"), on file in the original records of the District in my legal custody; that the Resolution was duly adopted by the Board of Directors of the District at a regular meeting on September 29, 202s, and that the meeting was duly held by the Board of Directors and was attended throughout by a quorum, pursuant to call and notice of such meeting given as required by law; and that the Resolution has not as of the date hereof been amended or repealed.

I further certify that, upon vote being taken on the Resolution at said meeting, the following
Directors
voted in favor thereof: Clough, Ferrian, Kincaid, McAughan, Sato
voted against the same: None
abstained from voting thereon:
or were absent: <u>NO NE</u>
WITNESS my hand officially this 29th day of September, 2022.

Leslie Bales, Secretary

FUND NAME: BIG MODIVIAIN SEVER FUND NUMBER: 7225

			or Year get FY22		Request FY 2023	Req	uests for Y23	FINA	L Budget FY23	
050 H 060 Ca 100 In 200 P	apital Credit Refund - FEC sterest Revenue	\$ \$ \$ \$	100 4,500 2,000	\$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$	100 4,500 2,500	\$ \$ \$ \$	10,000 100 4,500 2,500 17,100	
GI DI M FI	RANT FUNDING NRC/RRGL CEP/TSEP lathead County/DNRC MAG lathead County/ARPA otal Grant Funding	\$ \$ \$ \$	125,000 300,000 208,822 104,411 738,233					\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	125,000 500,000 208,822 - 833,822	
4000 T	AX REVENUE Tax/Assessment Revenue/Fees =	\$	570,042	\$	570,042	\$	592,516	\$		Added new assessments for Medicine Rock subdivision + updates
Т	otal Tax Revenue	\$	570,042	\$	570,042	\$	592,516	\$	596,482	
	OTAL REVENUE	\$	1,322,375	\$	587,142	5	609,616	s	1,447,404	CASH: (per Bank Rec's August 2022) Flathead County - \$ 662,956.25
	OTHER RESOURCES Cash available @ August 31 Less outstanding warrants @ May 31 Total Other Resources	\$						s s	1,063,986.78 179,220.07 884,766.71	Parkside Savings - \$ 871.28 Parkside CD - \$ 211,438.11 Whitefish Cr Union - \$ 59,739.80 Glacier Bank (PIF's) - \$ 128,981.20
	TOTAL RESOURCES	\$	1,322,375	\$	587,142	\$	609,616	\$	2,332,170	TOTAL CASH - \$ 1,063,986.76
5020 5030	MAINTENANCE & OPERATION EXP Line Fee (City of Whitefish) Salaries (5 directors) Dues & Subscriptions	\$	TURES 328,125 3,075 1,000 400	\$ \$ \$	393,750 3,075 1,950 400	\$	450,000 3,075 2,000 400	5 5	450,000 3,075 2,000 400	Outstanding Warrants - \$ 179,220.0 Flathead County - \$ 171,571.2 Glacier Bank - (subtract outstanding warrants) AVAILABLE CASH - \$ 884,766.7
5050 5071 5072 5073	Training Bonding & Insurance Line Maintenance Expense General Manager Lift Station 1 Operations	555555	10,000 20,942 800 2,850 2,850	\$ \$	10,000 10,150 800 3,150 3,150	5 5 5	10,000 10,150 800 3,150 3,150	5 5 5 5	10,000 10,150 800 3,150 3,150	
5075 5076 5079 5080	Lift Station 2 Operations Office Expense Legal Lift Station Repairs Professional Fees (Engineer & Audit	\$ 5 5 5	2,500 5,000 1,000 16,000	\$ \$ \$	2,500 8,500 1,100 20,000	\$ \$ \$	2,500 8,500 1,100 20,000 1,800	5555	2,500 8,500 1,100 20,000 1,800	
5091 5100 5110	Maintenance-Labor Maintenance-Supplies Utilities District Administration Management Fee	55555	1,800 4,300 960 12,000 27,960	\$ 5 5	1,800 5,000 1,056 16,200 28,800	\$ \$	5,000 1,056 18,000 28,800	\$	5,000 1,056 18,000 28,800	Additional budget for Insurance Review - only pay current amount unless Board approves changes 510,000 each for Audit and Engineering fees 10% increase for utilities
5155 5182 5650	Bank Charges	5 5 5 5 5	1,000 930 650 20 45,200	\$ \$	1,000 1,023 750 20 47,500	\$ \$	1,000 1,023 750 20 52,000	\$ \$ 5	1 023	4. 25% increase for Administrative Manager 5. See City of Whitefish breakdown - attached 6. Storage Unit - new mgmt, no increase last 3 yrs Storage Unit - new mgmt, no increase last 3 yrs
	Depreciation Total Maintenance & Operation Expe	-	489,362	_	561,674		624,274	\$	624,274	
6011 6019	CAPITAL EXPENSES Capital Asset purchase - manho Capital Asset purchase - pump Total Capital Expense	\$	12,577 12,577			-		+		
6013 6013	GRANT PROJECT EXPENSES Engineering Services Legal Costs, Admin & Audit Fees Construction Contingency 1 & 1 PROJECT BUDGET	\$	29,700.00 3,250.00		144,346.00 24,400.00 752,347.00 78,907.00	5 5	158,436.00 24,400.00 366,942.00 55,041.00	\$ \$		project Budget AS OF July 12, 2022
6013	BMSD Contingency Bond Cost (Bank Charges) Loan Reserves	\$ \$	11,00 14,31 58,26	5 5	1,000,00	\$ \$ \$ 0 \$	704,819	\$	988,638.00	
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$	560,19	9 \$	1,561,67	4 \$	1,329,09	3 S	1,612,912	2
	GRANT FUNDS BMSD Contribution			T		\$	74,40		171,76	
	DNRC/RRGL Flathead County MAG Flathead County ARPA Match					\$ \$	102,00 51,00	6 \$	208,82	
	MCEP/TSEP					5		_		8 (\$988,638 + \$16,951 = \$500,000)

FY23 FINAL BUDGET FOR BIG MOUNTAIN SEWER DISTRICT

Detail Explanations for Increase

Non-Tax Revenues:

- Increase Plant Investment Fee BMSD share. Increase from \$7,500 anticipated to \$10,000 due to increase in construction – both number of units and costs of units.
- 2. Interest Revenue Same as last year.
- 3. Increase Penalties and Interest so more in line with FY22 actual numbers. We received \$3,188 for FY22.

(Note: Flathead County split Investment Earnings and Penalties and Interest a couple of years ago – now have enough history for better budget numbers.)

Tax Revenue:

BMSD Board decided to leave the cost per EDU the same for FY23 as it was in FY21 and FY22.
 City of Whitefish was contacted, and they are planning a rate increase for FY23. The total
 assessment change based upon newly developed properties within the sewer district, and final
 plat for Medicine Rock, which means those properties have been added to our tax roll.
 \$596,482 was assessed for FY23.

Grant Revenue:

- 1. BMSD received a \$125,000 grant for RRGL for our I & I project.
- 2. BMSD received a \$500,000 grant for TSEP our I & I project. (name changed to MT Coal Endowment Fund/MCEP)
- 3. BMSD received a \$313,233 ARPA grant through Flathead County (\$208,822 grant from Flathead County MAG, and 50% of the match, \$104,411 from Flathead County); the new budget is to accept only \$208,822 from Flathead County MAG, and zero dollars from Flathead County.

Expenditures: (explanations of changes)

- 5020 City of Whitefish Fees increase to \$450,000 due to high occupancy and new construction along with anticipated rate increase from City of Whitefish (see estimate on Volume History sheet attached)
- 2. 5040 Dues & Subscriptions increased for Mission Control Monitoring
- 3. 5050 Bonding & Insurance Bales was tasked to look into BMSD insurance coverage. While working with MT Association of Counties (MACo) their estimate was \$10,000. BMSD currently pays \$2,000. Bales is continuing to working on quotes for insurance. These additionally budgeted monies will not be spent unless the Board decides we need to change our insurance coverage.
- 5071 Line Maintenance Expense --budget for FY23 is \$10,150. See Hanchett Maintenance Budget.

- 5. 5073 & 5074 Lift Station Operations. Includes the annual contract for alarms. See Hanchett Maintenance Budget. Also includes \$137.50 per month for the Flathead Electric and NW Energy usage charges. (increase utility fees by 10%)
- 6. 5076 Legal increase from \$5,000 to \$8,500. We are using attorney much more with the I&I Project.
- 7. 5079 Lift Station Repairs see Hanchett Maintenance Budget
- 8. 5080 Professional Fees Increased by \$4,000 total. Audit will be much more complex with I&I Project and grants, went from \$6000 to \$10,000 for audit and remained at \$10,000 for engineering.
- 9. 5090 Maintenance/Labor see Hanchett Maintenance Budget
- 10. 5091 Maintenance/Supplies see Hanchett Maintenance Budget
- 11. 5100 Utilities 10% increase
- 12. 5110 District Administration per BMSD Board motion increased from \$1000 per month to \$1,250 plus \$3000 for additional duties due to I&I Project
- 13. 5115 Management Fee this is for our contract with Whitefish Mountain for PWS Hanchett and his crew. A copy of the contract showing the annual increase is attached.
- 14. 5155 Telephone 10% increase
- 15. 5182 Records Retention \$100 per year increase, the storage facility has new management, and our rate has not increased in the last three years
- 16. 5700 Depreciation we add donated assets from Glades 4 and Northern Lights West Phase 3 so depreciation increases along with the value of our assets; along with estimated depreciation for adding new I&I Project; estimated at \$52,000

Capital Expense:

Hanchett does not have any capital projects budgeted in addition to the I&I Project

Grant Application Expenses:

The I&I Project and the grant funding numbers have been updated to reflect Montgomery's newest budget in July 2022. Also added \$100,000 budget for additional contingency to be paid by BMSD.

AGREEMENT

This Agreement, made by and between WINTER SPORTS, INC of Whitefish, Montana and THE BIG MOUNTAIN SEWER DISTRICT of Whitefish, Montana, for the purpose of WINTER SPORTS, INC. handling the maintenance duties of the SEWER DISTRICT, as follows:

WINTER SPORTS, INC. agrees to provide to the SEWER DISTRICT, the following services:

- 1. Total ordinary maintenance of cells and in-place equipment;
- Total ordinary maintenance of the line to Whitefish, including manholes and meter readings as required by the City of Whitefish;
- 3. Total ordinary maintenance of all collection lines within the SEWER DISTRICT boundary, including lampholes and manholes;
- Providing supervisory/management personnel to determine that the ordinary maintenance is being correctly and timely accomplished.

Extraordinary maintenance for the SEWER DISTRICT, including but not limited to the examination and solving of the current infiltration problem, will not be covered by this Agreement. Should the WINTER SPORTS, INC. Maintenance personnel be used for part or all of this type of extraordinary maintenance, the costs will be billed by WINTER SPORTS, INC. to the SEWER DISTRICT.

Yearly Fee Schedule .

	Jul-	Jul-	Jul-2021	Jul-2022	Jul-2023
	2019 Jun-	2020 Jun- 2021	Jun-2022	jun-2023	Jun-2024
Yearly Fee	\$26,100	\$27,144	\$27,960	\$28,800	\$29,664
Monthly payment	\$2,175	\$2,262	\$2,330	\$2,400	\$2,472
Increase of		4 %	3 %	3 %	3 %

Rates per Hour per Year

Type of	Jul-	Jul-	Jul-	Jul-	Jul-
Labor	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
	ปนก- 2020	Jun- 2021	Jun- 2022	Jun- 2023	jun- 2024
Professional	\$45.00	\$46.00	\$48.00	\$49.00	\$51.00
Semi-Skilled	\$32.50	\$33.50	\$34.50	\$35.00	\$36.00
Increase of		3 %	3%	3%	3 %

Either party shall have the right to terminate this agreement upon the breach by the other party or any material covenants and conditions contained in this agreement, provided the terminating party gives sixty (60) days' notice, in writing, of the breach to the breaching party and such breach is not corrected within said sixty (60) days' notice, and upon the expiration of such sixty (60) days, this agreement shall be deemed cancelled and terminated.

In the event it is not easily determined if a project will be "ordinary" or "extraordinary" maintenance, a

Big Mountain Sewer District 2022-23 Maintenance Recommendations From Jason Hanchett

m Jason Hanchett				Labor 0			
Recurring / Routine Maintenance			Remarks	Labor & Subcontractors	Materials		Total
Location	Acct. #	Description	Vac Truck and Hoist Truck	\$ 500.00	S		500.00
Station #1	5073	Pump Clean	TWEnterprises Inc.	\$ 1,000.00	\$		1,000.00
Station #1	5073	Generator annual service	Vac Truck	\$ 500.00	\$		500.00
Station #2	5074	Pump Clean	TWEnterprises Inc.	\$ 1,000.00	\$		1,000.0
Station #2	5074	Generator annual service	A-1 Sanitation	\$ 200.00			200.0
Highlands Meter	5071	Clean with Vac Truck	A-1 Sanitation	\$ 200.00	\$	3	200.0
	5071	Clean with Vac Truck		\$ 350.00	\$	5	350.0
age Meter	5071	Clean with Vac Truck	Test Meter, A-1	s 800.00	5	3	800.0
st Lakeshore Meter	5071	Clean with Vac Truck	A-1 Sanitation	s 1,000.00	5	5	1,000.0
pernation to Sub II	5071	Clean with Vac Truck	A-1 Sanitation	\$ 1,800.00		5	1,800.0
ne Lot to Sewer Road	5071	Line under Big Mtn. Rd. with bellies 1710'	A1 Sanitation	s 250.00		3	250.0
ean/Jet line at Elk Highlands SSO	5040	Dues				8	1,700.0
ontana Rural Water	5040	Mission Control Monitoring Service	Due in March (3 Units)			S	9,300.0
ow meter monitoring system	3040	mission desired		Recurring Total		-	0,000.0
Additional Recommendations				Labor &			
Additional Recommendations			Remarks	Subcontractors	Materials		Total
Location		Description	Remains	\$ 1,800,00		S	1,800.
	5090	To help with I & I or other non routine item		\$ 200.00		S	200.
aintenance Labor	5041			s 1,500.00		s	1,500.
aining???	5071	Clean with Jetter/Vac. Truck	A-1 Sanitation	\$ 1,500.00		S	1,500
ean/Jet main line in the Woodrun Sub.	5071	Camera/Inspect 8" Sewer line	A-1 Sanitation			S	1,000
amera main line in the Woodrun Sub.	5071	Clean with Jetter/Vac. Truck	A-1 Sanitation			s	1,000
lean/Jet main line in Hemlock lot to MR dr.	5071	Camera/Inspect 8" Sewer line	A-1 Sanitation	\$ 1,000.00		\$	800
amera main line in Hemlock lot to MR dr.	5071	Camera/Inspect 8" Sewer line	A-1 Sanitation/vac. truck	\$ 800.00		S	5,000
lean/Jet main line easement Elk/Medicine		Estimating two units to be done CY	Cutting Edge Excavation	\$ 5,000.00			1,100
H Ring and Cover lower/concrete collars	5091	Estimating two units to be defined as Estimating possible contractor work		\$ 1,100.00		S	1,100
ift station control board repairs/troubleshoot	5079	Estimating possible contractor from				S	
						\$	40.000
				Additional Reco	mmendations	\$	13,900
Capital				Labor &	****		Total
Location		Description	Remarks	Subcontractors	Materials	-	Total
Locason				Capital Total		\$	
					Recurring Total	S	9,300
			-		New Items Total	S	13,900
					Capital	S	
Notes Prints		large carnera \$1 per foot or \$225 per hr.			Capital		
A1 Sanitation Pricing		small push camera \$150 per l	nr.		Total	S	23,200
		Jetter S1 per foot or S185 per hr.			Total	3	20,200
Cutting Edge Pricing		Lowering rings and cover and placing in		By Account #	5040	S	1,950
Cutting Lage Friend		concrete \$2500 each		Routine	5041	S	20
				Maintenance	5071	s	10,15
					5073	s	1.50
				Only	5074	S	1,50
					5090	S	1,80
				i		5	5,00
					5091		1.10
					5079	\$	
					Total	S	23,20

	Mor	nths Billed		70.00		_		0.44		Nov		Dec		Jan		Feb	,	Mar		Apr		May		Jun	Yea End T	
		Jul	-	Aug	_	Sep	-	Oct	-	IVOV	-	500														
																		4 007 007		63,931,629		66,711.862		72.576.269	49.7	724.50
2017-18		49.724,500		51,295,148		53.279.732		54,311,624		55,041,905		55,731,252		56,809,490		59,345,480		1,637,987		66,711,862		72,576,269		73,559,553	73.5	559,55
arting Reading				53,279,732		54,311,624		55,041,906		55,731,252		56,809,490		59,345,480		61,637,987		3,931,629				5.864,407		983.284	23.8	835.05
ding Reading		51,295,148		1.984.584		1.031.892		730.282		689,346		1,078,238		2,535,990		2,292,507		2,293,642		2,780,233		\$64,141,97		10791.29 S	175770	5.684
lonth Usage Billing		1,570,648						\$8,025,98		\$7.578.55		\$11,829.14		\$27,762.37		\$25,101.10	1976	25,113.51		\$30,431.95				0.0110 \$		0.01
ilars Billed		\$15,382.24		\$19,412.26		\$10,113.99		0.0110		0.0110	S	0.0110	S	0.0109	S	0.0109 \$	S	0.0109	\$	0.0109	5	0.0109	9	0.0110 9		0,0,
st per Gallon	S	0.0098	S	0.0098	5	0.0098	3	0.0110	•	0.0110	•															
																		5.423,133		87.744.601		90.918.309		93,065,712	73,	559,5
2018-19		73.559,553		75,053,518		76.954.614		77,971,179		78,675,905		79,553,279		80,430,653		83,216,322				90,918,309		93,065,712		94,181,819	94.	181.
ting Reading				78,954,614		77,971,179		78,675,905		79,553,279		80,430,653		83,216,322		85,423,133		7,744,601		3.173.708		2.147.403		1,116,107	20,	622
ng Reading		75,053,518		1,901,096		1.016,565		704.726		877.374		877,374		2,785,669		2,206,811		2,321,458				\$26,329.53		\$13,706.47 \$	247	7.18
onth Usage Billing		1,493,965				\$11,155,06		\$8,671.17		\$10,784,38		\$10,784.38		\$34,141.91		\$27,056.69	107	28,470.09		\$38,891.51	-	0.0123	•	0.0123 5	100	0.0
llars Billed		\$16,373.04		\$20,822.98				0.0123			S	0.0123	S	0.0123	\$	0.0123	s	0.0123	S	0.0123	5	0.0123	9	0.0125		
st per Gallon	\$	0.0110	S	0.0110	5	0.0110	٥	0.0123	٠	0.0120																
2040 20																404 005 400	41	7,282,491		109,876,684		111.627.521		114,411,107		.181
2019-20		94,181,819	1000	96,308,266		98,187,761		99,479,011		100,285,604		100,980,005		102,082,229		104,905,122				111.627.521		114.411.107		116,281,602	116.	.281
ing Reading				98.187.761		99,479,011		100,285,604		100,980,005		102,082,229		104,905,122		107,282,491	11	9,876,684		1,750,837		2.783.586		1.870.495	22.	.099
ng Reading		96,308,266		1.879.495		1 291,250		806,593		694,401		1,102,224		2,822,893		2,377,369		2,594,193				\$34.784.92		\$23,389.55	27	4.88
onth Usage Billing		2,126,447		\$23.050.34		\$15.850.22		\$9,918.02		\$8,711.89		\$13,801.53		\$35,275.47		\$29,715.34		\$32,421.30		\$21,896.22				0.0125		
llars Billed		\$26,073.03				0.0123	•	0.0123				0.0125	S	0.0125	5	0.0125	\$	0.0125	5	0.0125	3	0.0125	•	5.5.20	39	-
st per Gallon	S	0.0123	5	0.0123	5	0,0123	3	0.0120	•	0.0.20																
2020-21														105 004 000		128,008,944	4	30.611,076		133,244,004		136,135,972		137,857,775		5,281
rling Reading		116,281,602	,	118.234.459		120,344,937		121,916,001		123,235,470		124,120,033		125,321,239		130,611,076		33.244.004		135,135,972		137,867,775		139,645,244		9,645
ing Reading		118,234,459		120,344,937		121,916,001		123,235,470		124,120,033		125,321,239		128,008,944		2.602.132	100	2.632.928		2.891.968		1.731.803		1,777,469		3,363
		1,952,857		2,110,478		1.571.064		1,319,469		884,563		1,201,206		2,687,705				\$32,991.70		\$36,229.70		\$21,727.64		\$22,298.46	29	92,6
onth Usage Billing		\$24,417.43		\$26,384.54		\$19,652.65		\$16,512.74	100	\$11,085.12		\$15,036.82		\$33,676.41		\$32,606.75		0.0125		0.0125		The second second second	S	0.0125	5	0.
tlars Billed st per Gallon	s	***************************************			s	The second second second	\$	0.0125	\$	0.0125	S	0.0125	S	0.0125	5	0.0125	5	0.0125	٥	0.0120	•	*******	- 5			
													E	Y22 City of Wh	itef	ish Estimated C	Costs	for Budget:			I]			
														Sallons	IC	st per Gallon	Estim	ated Cost	Ad	d 5% Usage		OTAL ESTIMAT				
													2	25.000.000		0.0125	S	312.500.00	IS	15,625.00	5	328,125.00	1			
													_	25,000,000		0.01.01			-							
																10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	-			159,646,223		162.884.410		165,585,511	139	9.645
2021-22		100 015 01		142,032,107		144.537.893		146,304,804	4	147,516,913	3	148,872,326		150,351,069		153,553,108		155,311,463		162,884,410		165,585,511		167,623,310	16	7,62
rting Reading		139,645,24				146,304,804		147.516.913		148,872,326		150,351,069	,	153,563,108		156,311,453		159,646,223				2,701,101		2.037.799		7.97
ding Reading		142,032,10		144,537,893		1.765.911		1,212,105		1,355,413		1,478,743		3,212,039		2,748,355		3,334,760		3,238,187				\$25,562.59		50.6
Ionth Usage Billing		2,386,86		2,505,786				\$15,231.46		\$17,022.70		\$18,564,39		\$40,230 59		\$34,434.54		\$41,764.60		\$40,557.44		\$33,843.85			100	0
lollars Billed		\$29,915.8		\$31,402.43		\$22,165.49									S	0.0125	\$	0.0125	\$	0.012	3 8	0.0125	5	0.0125	•	U.
Cost per Gallon	5	0.012	5 5	0.0125	S	0.0125	\$	0.01257		0.012		0.0120														

FY23 City of Whi	tefish Estimated	Costs for Budget:		
Gallons	Cost per Gallon	Estimated Cost	Add 5% Usage	TOTAL ESTIMATE
28,000,000	The same of the sa	\$ 420,000.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 441,000.00

7/12/2022

Big Mountain County Sewer District - 2022
Project Budget - I&I Mitigation

ADMIN/FINANCIAL COSTS	RRGL	MCEP	Flathead County MAG	Local Reserves	TOTAL
Personnel Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Office Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Professional Services	\$0	\$0	\$18,900	\$0	\$18,900
Legal Costs	\$0	\$0	\$5,500	\$0	\$5,500
Bond Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Loan Reserves	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Interim Interest	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Audit Fees	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,000	\$10,000
TOTAL ADMIN/FIN. COSTS:	\$0	\$0	\$24,400	\$10,000	\$34,400
	RRGL	MCEP	Flathead	Local	TOTAL
ACTIVITY COSTS:			County MAG	Reserves	
Final Engineering Design	\$55,000	\$33,436	\$0	\$0	\$88,436
Construction Inspection	\$70,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$70,000
Construction	\$0	\$207,900	\$159,100	\$0	\$367,000
Contingency	\$0	\$258,664	\$25,322	\$61,767	\$345,753
TOTAL ACTIVITY COSTS:	\$125,000	\$500,000	\$184,422	\$61,767	\$871,189
					96%
TOTAL PER FUNDING SOURCE:	\$125,000	\$500,000	\$208,822	\$71,767	\$905,589
Percentage of TPC	13.8%	55.2%	23.1%	7.9%	TPC

RESOLUTION #23-2

Resolution to accept the determination that a categorical exclusion is appropriate for the BIg Mountain County Sewer District's I&I Mitigation Project.

WHEREAS, the Big Mountain County Sewer District (the "District") has completed an assessment to identify potential environmental impacts to the I&I Mitigation Project;

WHEREAS, the draft Environmental Assessment was made available for public comments and the findings were presented and reviewed at a public meeting;

WHEREAS, no substantive public comment was received, (or public comment was received and responded to);

WHEREAS, The Big Mountain County Sewer District has determined that the I&I Mitigation Project will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment and accordingly the Big Mountain County Sewer District has determined that a Categorical Exclusion from MEPA review is appropriate, and that an Environmental Impact Statement or Environmental Assessment and EIS is not necessary.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Big Mountain County Sewer District Board of Directors adopts the final Environmental Assessment for the BMCSD I&I Mitigation Project; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this resolution of approval shall be entered into the minutes of the Board of Directors.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED THIS 29th day of September, 2022.

BIG MOUNTAIN COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT BOARD voting as follows:

FOR:	Cloudy,	Ferrian, Kincaid	MkAughar	, Sato
AGAIN:	ST: None			
ABSTA	N: None			
ABSEN	T: hone			

Jennifer Sato, Presideni

Leslie Balés, Secretary

CERTIFICATE AS TO RESOLUTION AND ADOPTING VOTE

I, the undersigned, being the duly qualified and acting recording officer of Big Mountain Sewer District, Montana (the "District"), hereby certify that the attached is a true copy of a Resolution entitled: "RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT THE DETERMINATION THAT A CATEFORICAL EXCLUSION IS APPROPRIATE FOR THE BIG MOUNTAIN COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT'S I&I MITIGATION PROJECT" (the "Resolution"), on file in the original records of the District in my legal custody; that the Resolution was duly adopted by the Board of Directors of the District at a regular meeting on September 29, 202s, and that the meeting was duly held by the Board of Directors and was attended throughout by a quorum, pursuant to call and notice of such meeting given as required by law; and that the Resolution has not as of the date hereof been amended or repealed.

I further certify that, upon vote being taken on the Resolution at said meeting, the following
Directors
voted in favor thereof: Clough, Ferrian, Kincaid, McAughan, Sato.
voted against the same:
abstained from voting thereon:
or were absent:
WITNESS my hand officially this 29 th day of September, 2022.

Leslie Bales, Secretary

Environmental Review Form Big Mountain County Sewer District (BMCSD) - I&I Mitigation Project

Please answer the following as they apply to your proposed project:

- 1. Alternatives: Describe reasonable alternatives to the project.
 - In the Initial 2019 t&t Technical Memorandum, BMCSD identified and analyzed: No Action; sewermain replacement and rehabilitation; manhole replacement and rehabilitation; runoff controls; and sewer service line replacement as alternatives for addressing significant inflitration & inflow into the sewage collection system.
 - As the project progressed to a Preliminary Engineering Report in 2020 and CCTV inspection was conducted within the suspected sub-basins, it became apparent that the sewermains were not in particularly poor shape and that most of the suspected I&I was coming from manholes throughout the system. Alternatives for addressing manhole I&I included: replacement; CIP rehabilitation; chimney reconstructing, and; raising manhole lide that are submerged during runoff.
- Mitigation: Identify any enforceable measures necessary to reduce any impacts to an insignificant level.
 - Measures to reduce noise impacts include: working only during ordinary business hours and requiring Jake-brake mufflers.
 - Measures to reduce air quality impacts include: watering work areas and minimizing offsite migration of tracked mud/dirt.
- Is an EA or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) required? Describe whether or not an EA or EIS is required and explain in detail why or why not.
 - The applicant contends that the I&I Mitigation Project is statutorily exempt from MEPA review since it satisfies several provisions under A.R.M. 8.2.328(2):
 - Projects where the footprint of the proposed structures, pipelines, or other infrastructure would be substantially unchanged from existing conditions, and there is no increase in the population served by the facility;
 - projects relating to existing infrastructure systems such as sewer systems, drinking water supply systems, and stormwater systems, including combined sewer overflow systems that involve:
 - minor upgrading;
 - rehabilitation of the existing system and system components

It is not expected that the I&I Mitigation Project is controversial to the public.

Consequently, the project qualifies for a Categorical Exclusion from MEPA review and should require no further or more detailed review as in an Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement.

- 4. Public Involvement: Describe the process followed to involve the public in the proposed project and its potential environmental impacts. Identify the public meetings where and when the project was considered and discussed, and when the applicant approved the final environmental assessment.
 - The public was informed of the project and possible environmental impacts during a BMCSD workshop conducted 10/26/21. No public comment was received.
 - The public will be again advised of the project's potential environmental impacts during an advertised public hearing conducted 9/29/22, wherein the CatEx determination will be made and acted upon by the Board via Resolution.
- Person(s) Responsible for Preparing: Identify the person(s) responsible for preparation of this checklist.
 - Paul W. Montgomery, P.E. (406-449-3303) was responsible for: preparing this
 checklist; the Environmental Checklist and; recommending the determination
 that a Categorical Exclusion from MEPA review is warranted.
- Other Agencies: List any state, local, or federal agencies that have over-lapping
 or additional jurisdiction or environmental review responsibility for the proposed
 action and the permits, licenses, and other authorizations required; and list any
 agencies or groups that were contacted or contributed information to this
 Environmental Assessment (EA).
 - No other state, local or federal agencies have overlapping/additional
 jurisdiction or environmental review responsibility for BMCSD's I&I Mitigation
 Project. State and federal agencies that were solicited for comment on this
 environmental review include:

at Engineer
Authorized Representative 1, Little

Date

1 2501

Jen Sato - RMCSD Board President Big Mountain County Sewer District 9/29/22 Date